

# Register Kept Under Regulation 20 of the Road Traffic (Parking Adjudicators)(London) Regulations 1993, as amended

**Case Reference:** 207055326A  
**Appellant:** Mr Kieran Alexis Donley  
**Authority:** Transport for London  
**VRM:** R562DYJ  
**PCN:** GT21825987  
**Contravention Date:** 20 Jun 2007  
**Contravention Time:** 21:56  
**Contravention Location:** East Hill / St Ann's Hill  
**Penalty Amount:** £100.00  
**Contravention:** Entering and stopping in a box junction when prohibited  
**Decision Date:** 15 Jan 2008  
**Adjudicator:** Carl Teper  
**Appeal Decision:** Allowed  
**Direction:** cancel the Penalty Charge Notice.  
**Reasons:** The Appellant has appeared in person and is represented by Mr J Douglas. I have considered the evidence and watched the CCTV footage and there is no doubt that the Appellant stops in the box junction for 31 seconds in East Hill on 20 June 2007.


However, Mr Douglas has argued that the box junction is not a permitted variation that has been authorised by the Department of Transport. Mr Douglas rightly argues that the box junction markings must comply with Diagram 1043 or 1044 of Schedule 6 to Part I of the 2002 Regulations and that the images produced show that in this case the markings do not appear to comply. Further, Mr Douglas argues that the 'Elephants footprints' cycle route has also not been approved by the Department of Transport.

I agree with the submission of Mr Douglas and find that the box junction is non compliant with The Traffic Signs Regulations and General Directions 2002 in that the corners of this box junction do not meet the corners of the carriageway as prescribed by Diagram 1043, and that there is no evidence before me that it has any approval in the manner it is laid out. Furthermore, there is no evidence before me that the 'Elephants footprints' have been authorised by the Department of Transport.

Considering all the evidence before me carefully I am not satisfied that the markings of the purported box junction comply with the requirements of the 2002 Regulations.

The appeal is allowed.

I certify this to be a true copy of an entry in the register

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Reeve". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "R" and a distinct "Reeve" following.

Mr R Reeve Proper Officer